BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10084665 8

# MATERIALS ON TRADE MARKS AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

LAW LIBRARY
AUG 2 1979
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

KF
3179
H3
1979

# MATERIALS ON TRADE MARKS
# AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

Copyright © 1970, 1975, 1977, 1978, 1979
by W.L. Hayhurst

Fifth Edition

1979

TORONTO

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

1979

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/materialsontrade00hayh_1

FACULTY OF LAW - UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

# Trade Marks and Unfair Competition

1979 Syllabus

References: Fox, "Canadian Law of Trade Marks", 3rd ed., (1972)
Kerly, "Trade Marks and Trade Names", 10th ed. (1972)
McCarthy, "Trade Marks and Unfair Competition" (1973)

(1) The right to compete; misappropriation:

Schoolmaster's case, (1410), 11 H. 4, 47 (not in the library)
Tuttle v. Buck, (1909), 119 N.W. 946
*Bollinger v. Costa Brave, [1960] R.P.C. 16, 31
*Beaudesert v. Smith, (1966), 120 C.L.R. 145, 156
*Acrow v. Rex Chainbelt, [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1676, 1682
Mogul v. McGregor, (1889), 23 Q.B.D. 598
Ratcliffe v. Evans, [1892] 2 Q.B. 524
S & S v. Rowell, [1966] S.C.R. 419
Tarleton v. McGawley, (1804), Peak 270
*DuPont v. Christopher, (1970), 431 F. 2d. 1012
*Victoria Park v. Taylor, (1937), 58 C.L.R. 479, 494, 496-8, 508-9
*International News Service v. Associated Press, (1918), 248 U.S. 215, 240, 250, 257, 259, 262-3
*Cheney v. Doris Silk, (1929), 35 F. 2d. 279
*Capitol Records v. Erickson, (1969), App., 82 Cal. Rptr. 798
Island Records v. Corkindale, [1978] F.S.R. 505
Zacchini v. Scripps Howard, (1977), 97 S.Ct. 2849
Eldon v. Reliable Toy, [1966] 1 O.R. 409
MacDonald v. Vapor, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 134

(2) Goodwill:

*Star Industrial v. Yap Kwee Kor, [1976] F.S.R. 256, 269-270
*Haig v. Forth Blending, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261
Bollinger v. Costa Brava, [1960] R.P.C. 16; [1961] R.P.C. 116
*Vine Products v. Mackenzie, [1969] R.P.C. 1, 23-24, 27, 29
Bulmer v. Bollinger, [1978] R.P.C. 79
Warnink v. Townend, [1978] F.S.R. 473

(3) The elements of passing off:

(a) Trade Marks Act s. 7

Marketing International v. Johnson, [1979] F.S.R. 243, 246-247
Imperial Dax v. Mascoll, (1979), C.P.R. (2d) (Walsh,J., Nov. 21, 1978)

(b) Length of time plaintiff has traded:

*Licensed Victuallers v. Bingham, (1888), 38 Ch. D. 139, 142-143
Stannard v. Reay, [1967] R.P.C. 589
Maxwell v. Hogg, (1867) L.R. 2 Ch. App. 307
M.G.M. v. Lee, (1963), 212 Cal. App. 23
Chandon v. San Marino, (1964), 335 F. 2d 531

(c) Territorial extent of plaintiff's reputation:

*Brestian v. Try, [1958] R.P.C. 161, 170, 173
Stork Restaurant v. Sahati, (1948), 166 F 2d. 348
*Ewing v. Buttercup, (1917), 34 R.P.C. 232, 237, 239
*Bernardin v. Pavilion, [1967] R.P.C. 581, 584, 588
Maison Prunier v. Prunier's Restaurant, (1936), 288 N.Y.S. 2d. 529
*C & A Modes v. C & A (Waterford) Limited, [1976] I.R. 198, 209
Maxim's v. Dye, [1977] F.S.R. 364
Seagoing Uniform v. U.S. Dungaree, (1978), 34 C.P.R. (2d) 240
Barratt v. Auto Electric, (1955), 21 C.P.R. 30
Brewster Transport v. Rocky Mountain Tours, [1931] S.C.R. 336

(d) Risk of damage:

*Johnston v. Orr Ewing, (1882), 7 A.C. 219, 229-230
Big O v. Goodyear, (1978), 561 F 2d. 417
*Day v. Brownrigg, (1878), 10 Ch. D. 294, 304-305
*McCulloch v. May, (1948), 65 R.P.C. 58, 64
Philip Morris v. Reynolds, (1975), 168 U.S.P.Q. 289
*Walter v. Emmott, (1885), 54 L.J. Ch. 1059, 1061-1065
Cheerio v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 562, 572
Fisher v. Star, (1921), 132 N.E. 133
Shaw v. Golden Harvest, [1972] R.P.C. 559
Universal v. Mukhtar, [1976] F.S.R. 252

(e) Similarity of activities:

Francis Day & Hunter v. Twentieth Century-Fox [1940] A.C. 112
*Yale v. Robertson, (1928), 26 F. 2d. 972, 973-974
"The Rational Bassi of Trade Mark Protection", (1927), 40 Harv. L.R. 813
*Harrods Ld. v. R. Harrod Ld., (1923), 41 R.P.C. 74, 81, 87
Notre Dame v. Twentieth Century-Fox, (1965), 259 N.Y.S. 2d. 832
Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders v. Pussycat, (1979), 201 U.S.P.Q. 741
Maxwell v. Hogg, (1867), L.R. 2 Ch. 307
Sim v. Heinz, [1959] R.P.C. 75
Booth v. Colgate-Palmolive, (1973), 179 U.S.P.Q. 819
Krouse v. Chrysler, (1974), 1 O.R. (2d) 225
Factors v. Creative, (1978), 579 F 2d. 215
Racine v. C.J.R.C., (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 370
Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps, (1978), 17 O.R. (2d) 425
*Annabel's v. Schock, [1972] R.P.C. 838, 844
*Wombles v. Wombles, [1977] R.P.C. 99
*Tavener Rutledge v. Trexapalm, [1977] R.P.C. 275
Commentaries, (1974), 52 Can. Bar Rev. 297
(1976), 54 Can. Bar Rev. 1

(f) Similarity of marks:

*Seixo v. Provezende, (1866), L.R. 1 Ch. App. 192, 194-7
*Tartan v. Carling, [1970] S.C.R. 323
*Saville v. June Perfect, (1941), 58 R.P.C. 147, 160-163

(g) Nature and extent of recognition and of relief:

Cellular v. Maxton, (1899), 16 R.P.C. 397, 408
Reddaway v. Banham, [1896] A.C. 199
*Treasure Cot v. Hamley, (1950), 67 R.P.C. 89, 91, 93

(h) Descriptive terms:

*Fawcett v. Valentine, [1950] Ex. C.R. 246, 254
Fawcett v. Popular Mechanics, (1937), 92 F 2d. 181
*Office Cleaning Services, Ld. v. Westminister Window, (1946), 63 R.P.C. 39, 41-43
*Burberrys v. Cording, (1909), 26 R.P.C. 693, 701, 704
*Havana Cigar v. Oddenino, (1924), 41 R.P.C. 47, 55-56, 61

(i) Surnames:

*Chivers v. Chivers, (1900), 17 R.P.C. 420, 429-430

(j) Geographical terms:

*Huntley v. Reading, (1893), 10 R.P.C. 277, 280-281

(k) Name of the goods:

Linoleum v. Nairn, (1878), 7 Ch. D. 834

(l) Get-up:

*Lever v. Goodwin, (1887), 4 R.P.C. 492, 506
Wampole v. Hervay, [1929] Ex. C.R. 78
Haig v. Forth, (1953), 70 R.P.C. 259, 261
*Parke, Davis v. Empire, [1964] S.C.R. 351, 354, 357-358
*Edge v. Niccolls, (1911), 28 R.P.C. 582, 588, 594
Sears v. Stiffel, (1964), 376 U.S. 225
*Compco v. Day-Brite, (1964), 376 U.S. 234, 237-9
Boston Hockey Assn. v. Dallas Cap, (1975), 510 F 2d. 1004

(4) Registration of trade marks:

Standard Oil v. Registrar, (1968), 55 C.P.R. 49
Registrar v. Provenzano,(1978), C.P.R. (2d)
Nicholson's Application, (1932), 49 R.P.C. 88
Bombardier v. British Petroleum, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 204, affd. [1973] F.C. 480
MacEachern v. National Rubber, [1964] Ex. C.R. 135, 142
Siscoe v. Munn, [1959] Ex. C.R. 455, 468-469
Adidas v. Nippon, (1977), 28 C.P.R. (2d) 141
Manhattan v. Princeton, (1972), 4 C.P.R. (2d) 6
Phil Borden v. Uarco, (1976), 24 C.P.R. (2d) 140
Porter v. Don the Beachcomber, [1966] Ex. C.R. 982
Wenward v. Dynaturf, (1977), 28 C.P.R. (2d) 20
Wian v. Mady, [1965] 2 Ex. C.R. 3
Marineland v. Marine Wonderland, [1974] 2 F.C. 558
Re Andres & Gallo, (1976), 25 C.P.R. (2d) 126

(5) Rights acquired by trade mark registration:

(a) Section 20: bona fide use:

*Bonus v. Essex, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 735, 753-754
Burger King v. Hoots, (1968), 403 F 2d. 904
Joseph Rodgers & Sons Ld. v. W.N. Rodgers & Co., (1924), 41 R.P.C. 277
The Hurlbut Company v. The Hurlburt Shoe Company, [1925] S.C.R. 141
Talbot v. Wembley, (1886), 3 R.P.C. 276
*Stone v. Steelace, (1929), 46 R.P.C. 406, 416-419

(b) Section 22: depreciating the value of goodwill:

*Clairol v. Thomas, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 552, 566, 568-570 575

(c) Section 19: exclusive right to use:

Building Products v. B.P. Canada, (1961), 36 C.P.R. 121, 131
Gattuso v. Gattuso, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 609, 618
PVR v. Decosol, (1973), 10 C.P.R. (2d) 203

(6) Distinctiveness:

(a) Statutory definition, s. 2(f):

Registrar v. Hardie, [1949] S.C.R. 483, 489
Liverpool Cable's Application, (1929), 46 R.P.C. 99, 124
*Lea's Application (1913), 30 R.P.C. 216, 221-222
*Aladdin v. Canadian Thermos, [1969] 2 Ex. C.R. 80, 113-114, 119-120
Great Lakes v. The Noshery, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 622, 636
Home Juice v. Orange Maison, [1970] S.C.R. 942
Somerlite v. Brown, (1934), 51 R.P.C. 205, 239
Sarco v. Sarco, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 537, 542
"Weston" Trade Mark, [1968] R.P.C. 167, 184
"Bostitch" Trade Mark, [1963] R.P.C. 183, 197

"GE" Trade Mark, [1970] R.P.C. 339; [1973] R.P.C. 297
Moore Dry Kiln v. U.S. Natural Resources (1977), 30 D.P.R. (2d) 40
Registrar v. Aciers, (1979), 40 C.P.R. (2d) 28

(b) Effect of assignment:

Pinto v. Badman, (1891), 8 R.P.C. 181, 191-195
Globelegance v. Sarkissian, [1974] R.P.C. 603, 605
*Lacteosote v. Alberman, (1927), 44 R.P.C. 211, 223-225
Dunlop v. Booth, (1926), 43 R.P.C. 139, 145-146
Champagne Heidsieck v. Buxton, (1930), 47 R.P.C. 28
A & P v. Registrar [1945] Ex. C.R. 233, 242
Dubiner v. Cheerio [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 543
*Bulmer v. Bollinger [1978] R.P.C. 79, 93-100, 117
Magder v. Breck's, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 527
Leather Cloth v. American Leather Cloth, (1863), 4 De G.J. & S. 137; (1865), 11 H.L.C. 523, 534-535

(c) Effect of Licensing:

*G.E. Trade Mark, [1969] R.P.C. 418, 448, 454; [1970] R.P.C. 339, 372, 395; [1973] R.P.C. 297, 336
Hensher v. Restawile, [1975] R.P.C. 31, 37
*Coles v. Need, (1933), 50 R.P.C. 379, 386-388
Robinson v. Finlay, (1878), 9 Ch. D. 487
Coca-Cola v. Pepsi-Cola, [1938] Ex. C.R. 263, 291-292
Good Humor v. Good Humor, [1937] Ex. C.R. 61, 73-74
Gray Rocks v. Snowy Eagle, (1972), 3 C.P.R. (2d) 9
Sund v. Beachcombers, (1961), 27 D.L.R. 2d. 434
Gattuso v. Gattuso, [1968] 2 Ex. C.R. 609
*Bowden Wire v. Bowden Brake, (1914), 31 R.P.C. 385, 392
Dubiner v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 524, 538-544, 556-560; [1966] S.C.R. 206, 221-222
Weight Watchers v. Weight Watchers, (1973), 8 C.P.R. (2d) 118
Marketing International v. Johnson, (1978) C.P.R. (2d)
Cheerio v. Cheerio, [1965] 1 Ex. C.R. 562

(7) False or misleading representations:

Loutone v. Canadian Everguard, (1972), 5 C.P.R. (2d) 240, 247
Benjamin v. Distributions Eclair, [1975] F.C. 250, 256
Cambridge University Press v. University Tutorial Press, (1928), 45 R.P.C. 335, 345
Copydex v. Noso, (1952), 69 R.P.C. 38
R. v. Contour Slim, (1973), 9 C.P.R. (2d) 107
R. v. Cunningham, (1974), 13 C.P.R. (2d) 244
Queen v. Foote (1978), 34 C.P.R. (2d) 26
R. v. Strong, Cobb, Arner, (1974), 13 C.P.R. (2d) 216
Wilts v. Robinson, [1958] R.P.C. 94
Hubbuck v. Wilkinson, [1899] 1 Q.B. 86
De Beers v. International G.E.C., [1975] 2 All E.R. 599
*S. & S. v. Rowell, [1966] S.C.R. 419, 424-425